**Comment/Explanation\*:***Include your justification for your proposed change to the draft standard below.*  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

The [FSEC paper](http://www.fsec.ucf.edu/en/publications/pdf/fsec-pf-464-15.pdf), which is used to derive the updated hot water equations, is vague on which RECS fields were used in the “single family” and “multifamily” categories, so it's not possible to know where a single-family attached home should fall. In the lack of better information, I would suggest these homes use the "single family" equations. If so, the language in 301 could use "one- and two-family Dwellings and Townhouses" vs "all others", which is the same language that is used in Table 4.2.2(1) for Doors in the Energy Rating Reference Home.

**Proposed Change to the Draft Standard\***  
*Use “strikethrough” and “underline” formatting to indicate all proposed changes. Changes must be shown with “hard-formatting” strikethrough and underline, not “track changes”.*

*Use a color other than red to indicate proposed changes to the draft.*\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

In Sections 4.2.2.7.1.4, 4.2.2.7.2.8, 4.2.2.7.2.9, and 4.2.2.7.2.10, modify as shown below:

… for ~~single family Dwelling Units~~ one- and two-family Dwellings and Townhouses

… for ~~multifamily~~ all other Dwelling Units