**Comment/Explanation\*: (Page 6; Section 3; Objection; Technical)***Include your justification for your proposed change to the draft standard below.*  
\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**Comment #3**: Strengthen the definition of comparable product types to avoid improper comparisons or grouping of materials for comparison without consideration of multiple performance attributes that may all be necessary for a general or specific product application. This will guard against inappropriate single property comparisons such as GWP on the basis of declared or functional units without considering other important functional attributes beyond the primary concern of this standard.

**Proposed Change to the Draft Standard\***  
*Use “strikethrough” and “underline” formatting to indicate all proposed changes. Changes must be shown with “hard-formatting” strikethrough and underline, not “track changes”.*

*Use a color other than red to indicate proposed changes to the draft.*\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

***Comparable Product Type*** – Building products that are identical or equivalent ~~materially~~ ~~similar~~ to the reference product in terms of composition, functional capabilities, applicable performance attributes, manufacturing, installation, and ability to satisfy all applicable ~~meeting the same~~ code requirements.