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Comment: We agree with the exclusion of any uncertainty factors for this standard. It is our opinion that none of the existing qualitative means of calculating uncertainty factors result in accurate or feasible means of capturing uncertainty (for example, the qualitative assessment of geographical representativeness would require a unique factor for users depending on the location of their building project). Quantitative assessment of uncertainty would be a more appropriate option, but due to the limited number of product types for which this has been assessed it is not a feasible option at this time.
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