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5.3.5 Biogenic Carbon pg 18

Comment: We support the calculation of biogenic carbon flows in this standard. Although this will result in biogenic carbon flows being shown only for life cycle stages A1-A3, we feel the mandatory wording about this in reports (8.1.8) ensures that users are made aware that carbon flows are not followed to end of product life. It is our opinion that the value of carbon storage in long-lived building products is substantial and that inclusion of biogenic carbon reporting enables different users to apply their own valuation factors, rather than excluding or ignoring biogenic carbon.
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