**RESNET SDC 200 Training TG Meeting**

April 8th, 2025

Second & Fourth Tuesdays of the Month

11:00 AM – 12:00 PM ET

[MEETING RECORDING](https://zoom.us/rec/share/5gI5fu--kc2vnjG-fob1rWY-Xb_eS2bGcr1Z9J3UxZAaCdGtpDbp2w42sTZmx5Oc.jnCfxOyCBfdCko6l?startTime=1744124598000%20Passcode:%20kh8.qG%5e!)

Passcode: kh8.qG^!

Members Present: Mark Schroer, Robert DuTeau, Doug McCleery, Eurihea Speciale, Tei Kucharski

Staff: Katie Stewart

Meeting started: 11:03 AM ET

**Work Item 86 - The purpose and scope of this task are to:**

* Revise Chapters 1, 2, and 9 of the RESNET standard to update requirements for accredited training providers.
* Update requirements for approved training curriculum and exam materials.
* Address exam proctoring requirements and update the maintenance requirements for RESNET accreditation.

**The following concerns will be addressed step-by-step:**

* Providers record videos of technicians performing mock-up CAS tests and consider them equivalent to in-field training for radar and RFIs.
* Energy Star training is being conducted via screen capture videos, where someone scrolls through the Raider field checklist and verbally describes how to verify checklist items.

The SDC 200 Committee addressed several key issues related to training, certification, and quality assurance (QA) within the RESNET system. Below are the main points discussed and action items:

1. **Training Consistency & Quality:** Concerns were raised about the need for better oversight and clarity in field training, especially regarding video demonstrations and checklists.
* Some certification materials, particularly exams, are based on outdated 2014 standards, which need updating to reflect current industry practices.
1. **Generative AI & Exam Proctoring:** The rise of generative AI poses challenges for exam proctoring, requiring new strategies to manage its impact on the exam process.
2. **Certification and QA Provider Oversight:** Issues regarding individuals working with multiple providers, particularly RFIs and HERS modelers, were discussed. There is a need for clear accountability and defined responsibilities for QA providers to avoid confusion and potential conflicts of interest.
3. **Revisions to RESNET Chapters 1, 2, and 9:** The committee identified a need to update these chapters to clarify QA responsibilities, registry updates, PDU requirements, and discipline considerations.
4. **Registry & Certification Issues:** The difficulty in managing certifications for individuals working with multiple providers was highlighted. Changes to an individual's status on the registry should go through RESNET to avoid administrative issues and ensure accurate records.
* The current software platform is insufficient to handle evolving industry needs, particularly for tracking certifications across multiple providers.
1. **Collaboration with SDC 900:** A key area of collaboration between SDC 200 and SDC 900 in 2025 will focus on addressing the overlap in responsibilities regarding training, certification, and QA processes.
2. **Standardization & Transparency:** There was general agreement from the committee on the need for universal certification standards that are consistent, transparent, and supported by a robust software system.
* Providers should be responsible for updating credentials, ensuring that certifications are universally recognized and that documentation requirements are met.

The SDC 200 will continue working on revising the certification standards, collaborating with SDC 900 on shared issues, and improving the registry and software functionality to support the industry's evolving needs.

Meeting adjourned: 12:00 PM ET