**RESNET® SDC 1600 Draft Meeting Minutes**

**August 19th, 2024**

<https://zoom.us/j/93726200479>

[*MEETING RECORDING HERE*](https://zoom.us/rec/share/qNOYNN6m8ciPxMqh2lQekJDrVYtU8MNEaeKF76l2YIq7MPpLBvVrQpOmhKI9zShJ.BIKiTJiE0boH3uUj)

*Passcode: #5J?j2uY*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **PRESENT** | **ABSENT** | **STAFF** |
| SDC MEMBERS  Leo Jansen  Mike Browne  David Goldstein  Philip Fairey  Jonathon Arnold (12:10 PM ET) | Roland Risser  Brendan O’Brien  Anna Kelly  Craig Sinnamon  Steve Byers  Asa Foss | Noah Kibbe  Molly Miller  Rick Dixon |

**Call to Order/Roll Call**

The meeting was called to order at 12:06 PM ET.

**Review Agenda**

The agenda was amended due to a lack of quorum.

**Review Prior Meeting Minutes**

A quorum was not met so the minutes were not reviewed.

**Report of Task Group**

No update was provided.

**Discussion of Draft Standard 1580**

David asked the group if the decision to move the draft Standard to a vote should be tabled until the next meeting with more members present.

Philip asked Rick how the ballot would be distributed for an advisory public review. Rick said the procedure would follow the guidelines for public review votes. Philip noted this would not be published in ANSI’s weekly emails and could go unnoticed by many.

No public comments were received and David wonders if extended outreach may be beneficial before approving the Standard. David recommends sending an electronic ballot for review to reach a wider audience.

David asked, if the group proposes a vote for public review and a consensus is not reached, can the group discuss this internally? Rick said yes. The vote would then be for a committee draft rather than a preliminary draft Standard.

The committee will move forward with a vote of this committee to initiate a public review of a working draft. If comments are received from the committee that the working draft needs revisions, then the committee will rework the draft until a full consensus is received.

This would be a vote on a working draft. 2/3 consensus is the minimum required. If the vote is less than 2/3, that is called a committee draft as it is not ready for public release. Once a 2/3 vote is received and the Chair agrees to move forward, that becomes a preliminary draft Standard (PDS) that will go out for public comment. If objections are made, a recirculation ballot will be resent. If the votes remain the same on a recirculation ballot, the preliminary draft can still go forward as long as the initial objections are addressed, either through acceptance or rejection.

Leo asked if the concern is that there is a lack of data on renewables.

Jonathan asked if 301 is based on estimated use. David said this is correct. Jonathan asked if Raters would have a choice between using 1580 or 301. David said a choice could be made between the two and that would be user specific. Philip said measured data cannot be used in 301 since it addresses newer homes without preexisting data.

An electronic vote will be distributed to determine if the current draft is ready for public review. Next steps will be decided after the voting period closes.

David will send the most recent version to Rick for distribution.

**Other Business**

**Adjourn**

Meeting adjourned at 12:37 PM ET.