**RESNET/ICC Water and Energy Remote Virtual Inspection (WERVI) Standards Committee 1400**

**Public Meeting Notice and Meeting Minutes**

**Recurring on the Second Tuesday of the Month**

**10:00 am to 11:00 am PT/1:00 pm to 2:00 pm ET**

**Join on your computer, mobile app or room device**

Click here to Join Zoom Meeting <https://zoom.us/j/94575294745>

Meeting ID: 938 8715 5713

[MEETING RECORDING HERE](https://zoom.us/rec/share/9FgNhRPMnMsdZCn9zd1ilthbleOLr8Ene2p1OfMCSHTkcdYu2FdpQoOCgA-3DpSz.seAV2CbMM8LeeJKJ?startTime=1739296984000)

Passcode: Cu85Yf&k

1. Call to order/Roll call.

Meeting was called to order at 1:02 PM ET

**Present**: David Walls, Paul Gay, Sharla Riead, Joshua Harmon, Elliot Seibert, Med Kopczynski, Matt Jansing, Mike Hamilton

**RESNET Staff**: Jackie Diaz, Billy Giblin

1. **Opening remarks from Chair**

Joshua thanks those who participated in the RESNET conference, and mentioned the creation of a tiny URL and QR code to help guide people to the standards development website.

1. **Approval of previous meeting minutes**

Med Kopczynski moved to approve January’s meeting minutes; Elliot Seibert seconded. Motion passed unanimously.

1. **Review of comments received**
2. 2/12 Begin 10-day Electronic Balloting (ending 2/22)
3. 2/24 Comments transmitted to commentors (30 days ending 3/29)

The committee has reviewed each comment in previous meetings. They will be reviewing them again before they are sent out for balloting.

**Comment #1**

The comment was rejected, as the text being commented on was not within the scope. The Committee will forward the comment to 301 SDC.

**Comment #2**

The comment wants to include training requirements in the standard.

The committee rejected the comment. While the committee agrees with the importance of training for implementing the standard, it believes that responsibility lies with the adopting entity. Additionally, no specific proposed change was submitted for the committee to act on.

**Comment #3**

The comment wants to update the language to 401.1 from “may” to “shall”. From a previous discussion, it was decided that language would be changed to “Accepted Items” and “one or more of the following”. Elliot mentioned that the list in this section is optional and is not sure the language the committee proposed sends that message across. Ultimately the language was changed to “Optional Items” and “includes one or more of the following”.

Billy explained that the issue with “may” stems from an ANSI request.

Comment accepted in principle.

**Comment #4**

The comment suggested changes to text maintained by ICC and not the proposed standard.

The comment was rejected and will be forwarded to ICC for consideration.

**Comment #5**

Similar to comment 4, this comment suggested changes to the normative appendix item that is maintained by ICC.

The comment was rejected, and the committee will forward it to ICC for consideration.

**Comment #6**

Comment was opposed to the creation of a new position.

The comment was rejected and the committee explained that the language was referring to the need for the “In-Field Inspector” to have proper PPE, not to create a new position.

**Comment #7**

The comment proposed the inclusion of Foam-Filled Concrete Masonry Units (FFCMU) inspection process. The comment was incomplete and continued in comment 8.

Comment was rejected.

**Comment #8**

The comment proposed the inclusion of inspection of FFCMU’s into the standard.

The committee rejected the comment and explained that the criteria presented were for the technical installation and not within the scope of the proposed standard.

**Comment #9**

The comment rejected the standard inherently, but since no updates or changes were proposed, the comment had to be rejected.

Joshua commented that submissions need to have proposed changes or an idea for the committee to discuss, and not just submitting their opposition of an update with no real changes proposed.

**Comment #10**

The comment opposed the restriction placed on virtual inspection for residential buildings since it does not align with the existing criteria found in 301.

Comment was accepted in principle, and the language was updated to reflect that the units being discussed are as described in 301.

**Comment #11**

The comment proposed striking 302.2.2 which gave the inspector the ability to take pictures or video for evaluation when necessary.

Comment was rejected and the committee emphasized that this should be left up to the AHJ’s and inspectors' discretion

**Comment #12**

Comment proposed having Geotagging mandatory in all RVI’s

Comment was rejected and the committee explained that this requirement would be left at the discretion of the AHJ since not all inspectors had the ability or resources to Geotag all media for various reasons.

1. 4/08 Next scheduled meeting to Resolve Comments/Objections

Next step is for the comments to be sent as an electronic ballot. When they have been voted on, they will be sent back out to the commenters, and they will decide if the answer was satisfactory. If there are no further objections, the standard will go out for public comment again by the April meeting.

Matt asked if there will be a separate committee on how this standard will be adopted. Joshua commented that a group may be formed on the MINHERS side. Billy explained that the standard is here for the sake of ICC and building inspectors. He is not sure to what degree this will be adopted by RESNET or the EPA. Mentioned that remote inspection during Covid-19 was not in place for very long, but remote field QA is allowed currently.

Elliot provided some information from the EPA side; they are interested in contributing to this standard but will most likely not implement it any time soon for ENERGY STAR. Matt suggested that maybe it could be applied only to reinspection.

Joshua, from a building inspector perspective, felt that this would be helpful for them as it would benefit the jurisdictions where this would be acceptable and save time and resources.

Med mentioned that this would be applied on a jurisdictional basis based on how they view the necessity of it and how well they can execute it.

1. Other Business

None

1. Adjourn Meeting

Meeting Adjourned at 1:42 PM ET

SDC 1400 Web Page - [Standards Development Committee 1400 - RESNET](https://www.resnet.us/about/standards/committees/standards-development-committee-1400/#:~:text=The%20RESNET%2FInternational%20Code%20Council%20Standards%20Development%20Committee%201400,inspections%20with%20a%20focus%20on%20Water%20and%20Energy.)

\*Please note that the WERVI Committee is making every attempt to make the meetings accessible virtually. Please excuse any technical difficulties that are beyond the committee’s control.