**RESNET/ICC Water and Energy Remote Virtual Inspection (WERVI) Standards Committee 1400**

**Public Meeting Notice and Minutes**

**January 7, 2025**

**10:00 am to 11:00 am PT/1:00 pm to 2:00 pm ET**

**Join on your computer, mobile app or room device**

Click here to Join Zoom Meeting [https://zoom.us/j/93887155713](https://protect-us.mimecast.com/s/ZKJNCzpoR0iR5WP8iXTlxh?domain=zoom.us)

Meeting ID: 938 8715 5713

[MEETING RECORDING HERE](https://zoom.us/rec/share/J_4cSklq1nTpjBj1IEC8LcR3Aa4hlShWDATBU6zF6ucKWLbEu-PAzxWgG00FbqqD.SXDYOK3qbTJ7q6X3?startTime=1736273238000)

Passcode: Pf#a7feq

1. Call to order/Roll call.

**Present**: Joshua Harmon, Elliot Seibert, Mike Hamilton

**Staff**: Jackie Diaz, Rick Dixon, Dave Walls, Billy Giblin

1. Opening remarks from Chair
2. Approval of December meeting minutes

Updated Mike Hamilton’s name in the December meeting minutes.

A quorum was not present, so the committee was unable to vote to approve December’s meeting minutes.

1. Review of Public Comments on Standard 1450

Rules and procedures for committee review and response to comments (if needed)

Joshua has created a Matrix to keep track of the paths taken for comments the committee has been going through and will update it after every meeting to keep track of what has been done.

**Comment #9:**

A commenter at BER raised a concern that the standard isn’t clear about who the “In-Field Representative” is supposed to be or what qualifications they should have. They are worried this could lead to non-certified staff doing inspections. However, they have not provided any suggested changes to fix this issue.

The committee acknowledges the concern and notes that this issue has come up several times before. However, defining an “In-Field Representative” is outside the scope of their current work. This standard focuses on code inspections, not on overhauling the Rating process for existing Raters. The committee’s role is to create guidelines for how inspections should be conducted, not to determine who is qualified to perform them. The committee also mentioned that nowhere in the standard does it change or remove qualifications for who is allowed to do the inspections.

Comment was rejected.

**Comment #10:**

The commenter objected to restricting the RVI to three stories, noting that this limitation is not consistent with 301. They suggested removing the restrictive language and replacing it with “as described in ANSI/RESNET/ICC 301-2022.”

The committee clarified that the current scope of the standard focuses on residential units, with the potential for expansion in the future. This three-story limitation was taken from the residential provisions in IECC and IRC. After reviewing the abstract, the committee agreed that the standard is intended for residential occupancies as defined in 301. The committee also acknowledged that the current language does not fully align with 301, which defines “Dwelling” as a building with one or two dwelling units intended for living purposes and “Dwelling Unit” as a single unit with independent living facilities. A discussion followed regarding whether to include hotels and motels under this standard and if they should be classified as residential units or commercial. The committee agreed that building height should not define the scope of the standard. They decided to align with 301-2022, specifying that the standard applies to Dwelling Units and Sleeping Units in residential or commercial buildings, excluding hotels and motels. For multifamily buildings, the standard will provide energy ratings only for individual units, not for the entire building.

Comment accepted in principle.

**Comment #11:**

Comment strikes through 302.2.2

The committee acknowledges the objection but agrees that having video or photo proof is better than having no proof at all. They believe this decision should ultimately be left to the inspector's discretion.

Comment was rejected.

**Comment #12:**

Comment suggests striking through 302.2, making GPS/Geotagging mandatory.

The committee does not agree with the objection, instead questioning if the intent is to capture location. They noted that geotagging is not always available and that not everyone has access to or familiarity with geotagging technology. The committee also acknowledged that this issue generally requires a higher level of technological expertise that some individuals may lack. As a result, they agreed that this requirement should be left to the discretion of the inspector or the jurisdiction.

Comment was rejected.

1. Future meeting dates: February 11th, 2025, 01:00 PM ET

The committee is considering moving the next meeting date up, potentially holding it during the RESNET conference. An email will be sent to gather feedback, along with a Doodle poll to determine availability. For now, it will be kept on February 11th with hopes to meet earlier.

1. Other Business

No other business was discussed

1. Adjourn Meeting

**Meeting Adjourned at 2:17 PM ET**

SDC 1400 Web Page - [Standards Development Committee 1400 - RESNET](https://www.resnet.us/about/standards/committees/standards-development-committee-1400/#:~:text=The%20RESNET%2FInternational%20Code%20Council%20Standards%20Development%20Committee%201400,inspections%20with%20a%20focus%20on%20Water%20and%20Energy.)

\*Please note that the WERVI Committee is making every attempt to make the meetings accessible virtually. Please excuse any technical difficulties that are beyond the committee’s control.