**RESNET SDC 1550 Technical Task Group Draft Minutes**

March 19th, 2025

12:30 PM – 2:00 PM ET

[MEETING RECORDING](https://zoom.us/rec/share/jcyFDZqEKkR6PhIp1m0wReHH5B_h8ZJzIFRaVk3BNbyc9yxjz1HAluQaW3QxkS-G.MNUWrh8v_VpKVCAQ)

Passcode: deY90s8$

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Present | RESNET Staff |
| Chris Magwood  Danuta Drozdowicz  Matthew Cooper  Karla Butterfield  Ariel Brenner  Mike Browne  Tracy Huynh  Corey Self  Andy Buccino  Charlie Haack  Yatharth Vaishnani  Brian Shanks  Erin Bordelon  Graham Wright  Ari Rapport  Jacob Racusin (1:05 PM ET) | Noah Kibbe  Katie Stewart |

[Link to Comments](https://www.dropbox.com/scl/fi/q3hav075rr9lqavu8v7zt/250306_1550-Comments_PDS01.xlsx?rlkey=qsse0tkxemk66xzfqyqlpixze&e=2&st=0he7g79s&dl=0)

[Link to Draft Standard PDS-01](https://www.dropbox.com/scl/fi/4ine3cvrj4hs91lh8v8t2/250306_PDS02-RESNET-C1550.docx?rlkey=ldaow0956tyw1qiflzc69ewco&e=2&st=bldtfv8p&dl=0)

1. **Welcome and intros (if needed)**
2. **Process for completion of standard**
   1. Address public comments
      1. Comments require an action of either Accept, Accept in Principle, or Reject.
      2. Comments marked Accept/Accept in Principle required edits to the standard.
   2. Present new draft and comment responses to SDC
   3. SDC vote
   4. Second round of public comments (only on revised text)
      1. If no comments are received, the standard goes to SMB for review.
      2. If comments are received, the same steps will repeat.
3. **Process for technical working group**
   1. Decide on strategy for addressing comments
      1. Chris and Brian created a spreadsheet with comments sorted by their recommended action. The group should refrain from re-ordering any rows.
      2. If a group member has a different opinion than what is recommended, they can add a new column with feedback. Chris and Brian will make an effort to ensure those members are present on the call when those comment(s) are addressed.
      3. There is no formal timeline.
      4. Comments marked Reject do not require a response if no suggested language is included or if the comment is philosophical in nature.
   2. Decide on meeting frequency
      1. Chris recommended a weekly meeting schedule. Group members are not required to attend all meetings but should be present at meetings that will address comments they have questions regarding.
4. **Begin addressing comments (if time allows)***Note: Comments were sorted by recommended action, not numerically.*[Link to Comments](https://www.dropbox.com/scl/fi/q3hav075rr9lqavu8v7zt/250306_1550-Comments_PDS01.xlsx?rlkey=qsse0tkxemk66xzfqyqlpixze&e=2&st=0he7g79s&dl=0)

**Review of Rejected Comments:**

* 1. Brian noted that many minor details recommended in comments marked Reject do not have large-scale implications and should not be the main focus.
  2. Comment #94
     1. There is a section of definitions included.
  3. Comment #69
     1. Graham suggested adding an explanation for why certain components were added to the Exclusions list.
  4. Comment #45
     1. There will be further discussion on Life Cycles.
  5. Comment #90
     1. There is no reference house for comparison.

The group will begin the next call at Comment #22 on Row 27.

A Doodle Poll will be distributed to determine the best time for a weekly meeting.